Baillie Gifford

Emerging Markets Q3 investment update

October 2024

Investment manager Will Sutcliffe and investment specialist John Rae give an update on the Emerging Markets Leading Companies and Emerging Markets All Cap strategies covering Q3 2024.

Your capital is at risk. Past performance is not a guide to future returns.

John Rae (JR): Hello, my name is John Rae. I'm part of the Emerging Markets client team here at Baillie Gifford, and I'm joined today by Will Sutcliffe. He's head of the Emerging Markets Investment Strategy. He's also a partner of the firm. The plan today is to give you a brief update on the Emerging Markets backdrop alongside portfolio performance, positioning and also a bit of an outlook.

So Will, I know China has been certainly in the news the past couple of weeks. I think it was the best performing weekly return since 2008. So yeah, what's your views on this? Is there anything meaningful here?

Will Sutcliffe (WS): Yeah, thanks, John. Yes, sentiment on China seems to have been transformed. So I heard somebody talking about the ABC mantra and two weeks ago, ABC stood for anywhere but China. But now it stands for all in by China. Is it meaningful? Well, yes and no. The measures announced so far have been relatively incremental. We've had rate cuts, we've had a Politburo meeting at which the prospect of fiscal stimulus was raised, and we've had a few well-timed media leaks hinting at the likely size of any fiscal stimulus. But what we've not had is much detail, and we've certainly not had anything that's remotely analogous to the 2009 fiscal bazooka, 25 per cent of GDP that was mobilized at the trough of the financial crisis. And so a lot of commentators are dismissing the recent stimulus as a bit of a storm in a teacup. It's a temporary boost of sentiment, but it doesn't really move the macro dial. However, I would suggest that the degree of coordination of these announcements hints that something has shifted at the top of the policymaking apparatus. And that's why you've seen animal spirits being reignited in the equity markets. And remember the role of liquidity and momentum in Chinese stock markets in particular. And when you've got trillions of renminbi in savings sitting on the sidelines and waiting for a signal from on high that it's safe to get back into the water, then it doesn't take much for that rally to become self-fulfilling and selfreinforcing.

JR: Okay. And so from what you've seen, does that give you cause to think about changes to any positioning in China?

WS: Well, I'll start with my customary reminder that our job isn't to predict the future or secondguess policy, it's to maximize our clients' exposure to parts of the market where we think we might have a differentiated view. And where we do have that differentiated view, it usually comes from looking a little bit beyond the time horizon of most other market participants. And China in recent years has been, we found it's been a bit of a conundrum in this respect, because of course, in the short term, everyone hates it. We keep hearing that the economy is a busted flush, and we keep hearing that there's no space for the private sector in Xi's China. But in the medium term, we have not believed that those issues are nearly as bad as they're portrayed. For sure, the Chinese economy has its problems, but it's also a walled garden economy where the normal rules don't apply and where policymakers do have greater space to address those problems. And while we think it is correct to worry about policy risk in segments of the economy, and it's increasingly clear that the finance industry is in the firing line, by the way, there are other sectors where we're more sanguine. So certainly when it comes to big tech and the platforms, SAMR, which is the state administrator for market regulation, they've made it clear that a line has been drawn in the sand and to use their terminology, the rectification phase is over and we're now in the supervision phase. So short term, sentiment's been very bearish. Medium term, muddle through a likely outcome. And that's why China has become so interesting from a contrarian investment perspective, if nothing else. But the problem you have is that the longer you extend that time horizon, the more challenging geopolitics becomes, regardless of who's in the White House. And I don't think we have any great insights there. So what all of that means is that we have been unashamedly index aware when it comes to our China position. We were underweight. We've been closing that underweight over the last year or so as sentiment appears to become increasingly disconnected from fundamentals. And we're now much closer to neutral. We still think there are large chunks of the Chinese market, particularly in the platform space, where valuations just look stupidly cheap, even after the rally, which, after all, has only taken us back to where we were at the start of 2023. But what's stopping us from going all in China? Well, it comes back to that long-term view and the fact that there are other parts of emerging markets where we simply feel our longer-term views are more differentiated.

JR: Okay, that's helpful. So if I zoom out to, I guess, the whole portfolio performance over the last three months, now I know it's very short term and how much this has changed over the past week or so, maybe highlights that fact. If I was to briefly summarize performance, clearly we've seen China perform well and our stock selection there has worked. We've seen just general global growth outlook has been a bit weaker. So energy and commodity names have been a bit softer. I think the biggest component of performance has been a pullback in semiconductor estimations, particularly in the memory space. And then just finally, India has continued to perform well, and we

still have an underweight there. So to summarize all of that, the portfolio has performed well in absolute terms, but has lagged the benchmarks slightly. I know it's short term, but how are you thinking about all of that? And how does that influence positioning?

WS: Yeah, I think that's a fair summary. And I'd emphasize your first point. What the last quarter has shown very clearly is that value of taking a longer-term perspective. Because when the quarter began, investors were obsessed with the risk that the world's two largest economies were heading into recession. And now it looks much more likely that both the US and China will be heading into 2025 in easing mode. And as I said, our job is not to anticipate fluctuations in policy, it's to identify how, if at all, those shifts in policy will meaningfully affect the longer-term fundamentals of the companies that we invest in. Now, an environment in which both China and the US are easing is probably not a bad one for the EM asset class. And it's certainly true that our appetite for stodgy value preservation stocks remains limited. But that appetite is predominantly driven by the number of attractive and, in our view, deeply mispriced secular growth drivers that we're finding rather than any overarching macro view. So absolutely, we have stocks that are exposed to global growth, that people have been nervous about, for example, in the semiconductor space and the commodity space, as you mentioned. But in each case, it's the secular drivers that excite us more than the cyclical ones, whether that's electrification or data or artificial intelligence. And it's our faith in those companies' ability to execute through the cycles that gives us confidence. So what I would say is it seems absurd to me that the market is worried about cyclical slowdowns in copper, for example, when prices of copper remains below the levels required to incentivise new production. And it seems absurd to me that the market is worried that AI could be over when it's only just starting to move out to the data centres and into the mainstream economy. And when the companies that we invest in with exposure to those themes are themselves mostly trading on single digit or low double digit earnings multiples, it seems even more absurd.

JR: Okay, maybe just sticking with valuation, we've been saying for a few quarters now that India looks expensive. It's got more expensive this quarter. So how are we thinking about our Indian underweight? Is that likely to persist in the coming quarters?

WS: Yeah, I mean, India is a well-loved market and there are good reasons for that. It's an economy that should be capable of growing at a double digit pace in nominal rupees for many, many years before it has to start worrying about middle income traps. It's an economy that is relatively insulated from the problems of the rest of the world in economic and geopolitical terms. And it's an economy where, or a market I should say, where there's plenty of scope for valuation, however lofty to remain underpinned by relatively low levels of domestic and foreign equity ownership. So the question for us though, it's a relative one. What is our appetite for Indian mid-caps on 50 times earnings when growth appears so egregiously mispriced in much of the rest of our universe. So that underweight you mentioned in our portfolios, it certainly doesn't reflect any particular hostility to Indian equities.

It's simply that we think we can get idiosyncratic growth elsewhere, names like Luckin Coffee in China or Kaspi in Kazakhstan, both on low teens earnings multiples for growth that's approaching near 50 per cent.

JR: Okay, that's really helpful. If I zoom out to the outlook for the portfolio, I think probably the key concern for emerging markets investors who are maybe sitting on the sidelines is geopolitics, it's trade tensions. In the last few months, we've had more tariffs come in. Do you think that presents an issue for the emerging markets asset class for the longer term time horizon?

WS: Yeah, I mean, we're watching tariffs. And so far, they appear to be unfolding along relatively predictable geopolitical lines. So Ottawa, recently, we saw following Washington in imposing 100 per cent tariffs on Chinese EV imports. But I would lay very good odds that most of Asia and most other emerging markets will not follow suit. So yes, there are risks, but there are opportunities. And for example, China now exports more to Southeast Asia than it does to the US, which is a massive change from five years ago. While people tend to focus on the risks of China exporting over capacity, there are huge economic advantages associated with that, most of which will be focused on emerging markets rather than developed markets. So cheaper capital goods and cheaper consumer goods from China is good news for hundreds of millions of new buyers across Asia and across Latin America. More broadly, I do think this perception that deglobalization is a bad thing for emerging markets. I think that's simply wrong. Because countries on both sides of the geopolitical divide will still need a lot of stuff. They will need critical minerals to bridge the transition to a renewable age. And they will need semiconductors to power the digital age. And they'll need lots of steel and lots of cement to build the new supply chains. And where are the biggest and the best and the lowest cost producers of this stuff? you know, they tend to be in emerging markets. And to the extent that this trade is increasingly happening in currencies other than the dollar, it's a game changer for emerging markets, because it liberates those economies from that historical relationship that has always been between policy in the US and financial conditions in emerging markets. So you no longer need to hold dollars throughout your supply chain in this new world of intra-emerging markets trade. And you no longer need to reinvest the proceeds of that trade into dollar assets. And that is potentially where your positive feedback loops really kick in for emerging markets.

JR: But just to wrap up, we've been banging the drum for emerging markets for quite a few quarters now. And forgive me for asking this question, but I was asked it just last week. What do we see the catalyst for turning sentiment towards emerging markets around, if we see one at all? Maybe we saw it last week.

WS: Perhaps. I mean, but as we've often said, picking turning points is incredibly hard. But anticipating the longer-term direction of travel, I think is more straightforward. And look, we've now had more than a decade of underperformance of EM equities and we've now had more than a

decade in which emerging markets have had to learn to become self-sufficient and have had to get by without access to plentiful foreign capital. So what that means is that we're now coming from a point of bombed-out valuations and bombed-out sentiment where macro resilience has never been better and the calibre of companies has never been higher. And at some point, this all has to matter.

JR: Brilliant. Thanks very much, Will. And thanks, everyone, for watching.

Emerging Markets (including Emerging Markets All Cap and Emerging Markets Leading Companies strategies)

| 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 16.3 | 17.9 | -35.8 | 18.0 | 25.5 |
| 22.6 | 18.7 | -35.2 | 15.8 | 24.5 |
| 10.9 | 18.6 | -27.8 | 12.2 | 26.5 |
| | 16.3 22.6 | 16.3 17.9 22.6 18.7 | 16.3 17.9 -35.8 22.6 18.7 -35.2 | 16.3 17.9 -35.8 18.0 22.6 18.7 -35.2 15.8 |

Annual past performance to 30 September each year (net%)

Annualised returns to 30 September 2024 (net%)

| | 1 year | 5 years | 10 years |
|--|--------|---------|----------|
| Emerging Markets All Cap Composite | 25.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| Emerging Markets Leading Companies Composite | 24.5 | 6.4 | 6.1 |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Index | 26.5 | 6.1 | 4.4 |

Source: Revolution, MSCI. US dollars. Returns have been calculated by reducing the gross return by the highest annual management fee for the composite. 1 year figures are not annualised.

Past performance is not a guide to future returns.

Legal notice: MSCI makes no express or implied warranties or representations and shall have no liability whatsoever with respect to any MSCI data contained herein. The MSCI data may not be further redistributed or used as a basis for other indexes or any securities or financial products. This report is not approved, endorsed, reviewed or produced by MSCI. None of the MSCI data is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such.

Risk factors

This communication was produced and approved in October 2024 and has not been updated subsequently. It represents views held at the time and may not reflect current thinking.

The views expressed should not be considered as advice or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a particular investment. They reflect opinion and should not be taken as statements of fact nor should any reliance be placed on them when making investment decisions.

This communication contains information on investments which does not constitute independent research. Accordingly, it is not subject to the protections afforded to independent research, but is classified as advertising under Art 68 of the Financial Services Act ('FinSA') and Baillie Gifford and its staff may have dealt in the investments concerned.

All information is sourced from Baillie Gifford & Co and is current unless otherwise stated.

The images used in this communication are for illustrative purposes only.

Important information

Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford & Co Limited are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). Baillie Gifford & Co Limited is an Authorised Corporate Director of OEICs.

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides investment management and advisory services to non-UK Professional/Institutional clients only. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co. Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited are authorised and regulated by the FCA in the UK.

Persons resident or domiciled outside the UK should consult with their professional advisers as to whether they require any governmental or other consents in order to enable them to invest, and with their tax advisers for advice relevant to their own particular circumstances.

Financial intermediaries

This communication is suitable for use of financial intermediaries. Financial intermediaries are solely responsible for any further distribution and Baillie Gifford takes no responsibility for the reliance on this document by any other person who did not receive this document directly from Baillie Gifford.

Europe

Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Ltd (BGE) is authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland as an AIFM under the AIFM Regulations and as a UCITS management company under the UCITS Regulation. BGE also has regulatory permissions to perform Individual Portfolio Management activities. BGE provides investment management and advisory services to European (excluding UK) segregated clients. BGE has been appointed as UCITS management company to the following UCITS umbrella company; Baillie Gifford Worldwide Funds plc. BGE is a wholly owned subsidiary of Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, which is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and Baillie Gifford & Co are authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Hong Kong

Baillie Gifford Asia (Hong Kong) Limited 柏基亞洲(香港)有限公司 is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and holds a Type 1 license from the Securities & Futures Commission of Hong Kong to market and distribute Baillie Gifford's range of collective investment schemes to professional investors in Hong Kong. Baillie Gifford Asia (Hong Kong) Limited 柏基亞洲(香港)有限公司 can be contacted at Suites 2713-2715, Two International Finance Centre, 8 Finance Street, Central, Hong Kong. Telephone +852 3756 5700.

South Korea

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is licensed with the Financial Services Commission in South Korea as a cross border Discretionary Investment Manager and Non-discretionary Investment Adviser.

Japan

Mitsubishi UFJ Baillie Gifford Asset Management Limited ('MUBGAM') is a joint venture company between Mitsubishi UFJ Trust & Banking Corporation and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited. MUBGAM is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Australia

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited (ARBN 118 567 178) is registered as a foreign company under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) and holds Foreign Australian Financial Services Licence No 528911. This material is provided to you on the basis that you are a "wholesale client" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) ("Corporations Act"). Please advise Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited immediately if you are not a wholesale client. In no circumstances may this material be made available to a "retail client" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act.

This material contains general information only. It does not take into account any person's objectives, financial situation or needs.

South Africa

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered as a Foreign Financial Services Provider with the Financial Sector Conduct Authority in South Africa.

North America

Baillie Gifford International LLC is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited; it was formed in Delaware in 2005 and is registered with the SEC. It is the legal entity through which Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides client service and marketing functions in North America. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered with the SEC in the United States of America.

The Manager is not resident in Canada, its head office and principal place of business is in Edinburgh, Scotland. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is regulated in Canada as a portfolio manager and exempt market dealer with the Ontario Securities Commission ('OSC'). Its portfolio manager licence is currently passported into Alberta, Quebec, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and Newfoundland & Labrador whereas the exempt market dealer licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford International LLC is regulated by the OSC as an exempt market and its licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford International LLC is regulated by the OSC as an exempt market and its licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited ('BGE') relies on the International Investment Fund Manager Exemption in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec.

Israel

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is not licensed under Israel's Regulation of Investment Advising, Investment Marketing and Portfolio Management Law, 5755-1995 (the Advice Law) and does not carry insurance pursuant to the Advice Law. This material is only intended for those categories of Israeli residents who are qualified clients listed on the First Addendum to the Advice Law.

Singapore

Baillie Gifford Asia (Singapore) Private Limited is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore as a holder of a capital markets services licence to conduct fund management activities for institutional investors and accredited investors in Singapore. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, as a foreign related corporation of Baillie Gifford Asia(Singapore) Private Limited, has entered into a cross-border business arrangement with Baillie Gifford Asia (Singapore) Private Limited, and shall be relying upon the exemption under regulation 4 of the Securities and Futures (Exemption for Cross-Border Arrangements) (Foreign Related Corporations) Regulations 2021 which enables both Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and Baillie Gifford Asia (Singapore) Private Limited to market the full range of segregated mandate services to institutional investors and accredited investors in Singapore.