Baillie Gifford®

International Concentrated Growth Q1 investment update

April 2025

Investment manager Paulina McPadden and investment specialist Paul Taylor give an update on the International Concentrated Growth Strategy covering Q1 2025.

Your capital is at risk. Past performance is not a guide to future returns.

Paul Taylor (PT): Hello, and welcome to the Baillie Gifford International Concentrated Growth update for the first quarter of 2025. My name's Paul Taylor, and I'm one of the investment specialists working with the strategy. And I'm joined today by one of the managers of the portfolio, Paulina McPadden. Paulina, thank you very much for joining us.

Paulina McPadden (PM): Thanks for having me.

PT: Thank you. It's fair to say there's quite a lot going on in the world at the moment. The change in tone from the world's most powerful country towards global politics, global trade has been in a state of flux. The markets have taken a turn from what we've seen over the past few years. And the strategy hasn't had a super start to the year, but we're focused on much, much longer-term horizons. Three months is far too short a period of time to measure performance. We're focused on five years, and, over the long term, the strategy remains exceptionally well placed.

Paulina, thank you very much for joining us. Two things I think we should really be focusing on today. One is the shifting seas in the global autos industry. But before then, I know you went to the NVIDIA GPU conference last month. I'd be really keen to hear your thoughts around that. What were your key takeaways from the meeting?

PM: Well, it's a conference I've been going to for a couple of years now, and it's, I think, one of the best events in the calendar for just getting up to speed with what's going on in the Al space, what exciting things NVIDIA has got coming down the line, building up a network of contacts of people who are working in this industry and doing really exciting things. Obviously, the event itself is

undeniably pro-NVIDIA. But the location makes it easy to go out and meet other companies at the same time and get a slightly more balanced view.

And the main takeaway for me is that NVIDIA's position in this space is as strong, if not stronger, than it has been in the past. So despite ongoing perennial concerns around the rise of custom silicon and ASICs – for example Amazon talks about its Trainium chips, Meta is pushing out version two of its MTIA as well – these alternatives have not been enough to unseat NVIDIA's GPUs. And the fundamental reason for that is that NVIDIA can just push performance improvements significantly faster than anybody else. Its only focus is on making these AI chips, whereas everybody else is having to spread their attention. And as a result, the price-performance improvement has been 17-fold since 2016, for example. And the roadmap going forward has a pathway to producing more than 40-fold improvement in compute over the next four or five years. So very exciting times.

PT: That is truly impressive. So, if I could paraphrase, so long as the speed of innovation in Al keeps up, we think NVIDIA's competitive edge is just going to continue to deepen.

PM: Yes, that sounds about right. I mean, the main reason for that is that an ASIC is fundamentally a specialised chip for a specific use case. So, in order for it to really make sense economically, you have to know what you're going to be using it for and the algorithm that is being used on top of that chip shouldn't change too much.

But I was speaking to developers, and they were saying that they can't keep up with the pace of improvements in this technology; that every day, every week, there's something new coming down the line. Yann LeCun from Facebook was saying that he would not be remotely surprised if there's another huge shift in technology over the next three or five years on the level of what transformers did in terms of enabling ChatGPT. And if that happens, you really need a generalised platform in order to implement that sort of shift.

PT: Yes, clearly a huge, huge amount more to come from this sector. We discussed this in our portfolio meeting yesterday. And one thing we've been reflecting on for the past year is how we balance that enthusiasm for the opportunity with NVIDIA, with market treatments and valuations. And it still operates in a cyclical market, essentially, for its equipment. Could you talk about how we've been balancing the enthusiasm with the portfolio sizing?

PM: Sure. I think it's important to note that we have been trimming the position over the course of the last year as the price went up. You're right that at the end of the day, semiconductors are a very cyclical industry, and I don't really see any structural reasons for why that should change going forward. I think the hypothesis that over time NVIDIA could develop a software recurring revenue, that's still a possibility. But again, I think that pace of change is such that, at this point, it's just not a priority for them. They can't produce enough chips to keep everybody happy. They've got quite a lot on their plate already. So I think we balance that enthusiasm for the long-term opportunity with

the understanding that it's a cyclical hardware-based industry. And so that's reflected in the holding size in the portfolio.

TP: Thank you. I'm sure it's one we'll be coming back to again in the future. But moving on to the second topic I think would be of interest, the auto industry. We've held Tesla in the strategy for about 10 years and it's been a phenomenally successful investment. But we've sold the last remaining holding of Tesla during the quarter. And we had been reducing and reducing over a number of years. So, it's not like I think anything's materially changed just now. I think it's also interesting to reflect that we added the Chinese EV manufacturer BYD to the strategy about this time last year. So, first, what was the final trigger for removing Tesla from the portfolio?

PM: You're right. We've reduced that position over time. And to a certain extent, that's just a recognition of the fact that the auto industry has become much more competitive than it was when Tesla first started out. They were the dominant EV manufacturer for a very long time, but BYD, as of last year, stole that crown. And it's a reflection that, in order to make outlier returns possible from here for this company, you need to believe in a really strong second act in something like humanoid robotics or autonomous driving. And that's still possible, that could happen, but we just didn't have a high enough level of conviction to continue underwriting the position.

And then the final thing I would point out is we made quite a large reduction to the position last year after the election when you saw a very strong uptick in the share price on the basis of very little fundamental change in the company's operations. We felt that wasn't really reflecting true underlying value of the company and reduced significantly at that point.

PT: Thank you. To turn to BYD, we've held it for a year, hopefully we'll be holding it for a lot longer because they do seem to be really at the forefront of innovation. Only over the last three months we've seen them introduce charging technology that looked really special, but also, and I think probably more interesting, is their approach to autonomy. What's your take on their approach to autonomous driving at the moment?

PM: Well, that's been an interesting change because I remember meeting with BYD years ago, and they were extremely sceptical about the future of autonomous driving. They thought that people simply like the experience of driving cars. That's not going to go away. And they've clearly shifted that position slightly. I think that speaks to the value of having an engaged founder at the helm of a company that, when the environment changes, can change his mind and bring the entire company with him.

And what they've done is they're effectively giving it away for free. So the actual software platform itself may not be top of the line. But, let's be honest, when we talk about autonomous driving for any car company, it's not really autonomous in the way that people might understand the term. You still, generally speaking, need to have your hand on the wheel. You certainly need to have your

eyes on the road. The car isn't taking complete control away from you. It's more like assisted driving at this point in time.

And Tesla charges quite a lot of money for that on an annual basis. BYD is giving it away for free. Does that start to pressure other car makers significantly and reduce their chances of making margins that are attractive to them? Does it open up the competitive space even further for BYD?

PT: I was surprised. I was looking the other day at folks viewing from America, I think it's \$8,000 or \$9,000 as an outright sale or \$99 per month as a sort of royalty payment, for Tesla's FSD. So, the idea that they won't be able to, at least in China, and we're yet to see how BYD approaches the rest of the world, actually monetise that technology. So it's super interesting.

I'm conscious of time, do you have any view on their charging technology? Because it now looks now like they can charge their EVs at the same speed at which you can refuel a traditional gasoline engine.

PM: I mean, that's been one of the barriers to adoption for a long time. I think there are still probably structural, infrastructural barriers. Rolling out this charging infrastructure is going to take some time, although they have fairly ambitious targets for the next few years. There's just not enough power to go around. But there, I think they're helped by the fact that, again, they're a Chinese company and China leads the world in renewable energy generation and technology. And so, if anybody can solve that issue of getting enough power to fuel this infrastructure, it's probably them.

PT: Excellent. Thank you very much for joining us. It's always interesting talking to you about some of these leading-edge companies that we're investing in on behalf of clients, which just leads me to say thank you very much for your interest in our International Concentrated Growth Strategy. Please do reach out to your Baillie Gifford representative if you would like to hear any more. Thank you.

International Concentrated Growth

Annual past performance to 31 March each year (net%)

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
International Concentrated Growth Composite	105.0	-20.3	-9.7	9.0	9.0
MSCI ACWI ex US Index	50.0	-1.0	-4.6	13.8	6.6

Annualised returns to 31 March 2025 (net%)

	1 year	5 years	10 years
International Concentrated Growth Composite	9.0	11.9	12.1
MSCI ACWI ex US Index	6.6	11.5	5.5

Source: Revolution, MSCI. US dollars. Returns have been calculated by reducing the gross return by the highest annual management fee for the composite. 1 year figures are not annualised.

Past performance is not a guide to future returns.

Legal notice: MSCI makes no express or implied warranties or representations and shall have no liability whatsoever with respect to any MSCI data contained herein. The MSCI data may not be further redistributed or used as a basis for other indexes or any securities or financial products. This report is not approved, endorsed, reviewed or produced by MSCI. None of the MSCI data is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such.

Risk factors

This communication was produced and approved in April 2025 and has not been updated subsequently. It represents views held at the time and may not reflect current thinking.

The views expressed should not be considered as advice or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a particular investment. They reflect opinion and should not be taken as statements of fact nor should any reliance be placed on them when making investment decisions.

This communication contains information on investments which does not constitute independent research. Accordingly, it is not subject to the protections afforded to independent research, but is classified as advertising under Art 68 of the Financial Services Act ('FinSA') and Baillie Gifford and its staff may have dealt in the investments concerned.

All information is sourced from Baillie Gifford & Co and is current unless otherwise stated.

The images used in this communication are for illustrative purposes only.

Important information

Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford & Co Limited are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). Baillie Gifford & Co Limited is an Authorised Corporate Director of OEICs.

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides investment management and advisory services to non-UK Professional/Institutional clients only. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co. Baillie Gifford & Co and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited are authorised and regulated by the FCA in the UK.

Persons resident or domiciled outside the UK should consult with their professional advisers as to whether they require any governmental or other consents in order to enable them to invest, and with their tax advisers for advice relevant to their own particular circumstances.

Financial intermediaries

This communication is suitable for use of financial intermediaries. Financial intermediaries are solely responsible for any further distribution and Baillie Gifford takes no responsibility for the reliance on this document by any other person who did not receive this document directly from Baillie Gifford.

Europe

Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Ltd (BGE) is authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland as an AIFM under the AIFM Regulations and as a UCITS management company under the UCITS Regulation. BGE also has regulatory permissions to perform Individual Portfolio Management activities. BGE provides investment management and advisory services to European (excluding UK) segregated clients. BGE has been appointed as UCITS management company to the following UCITS umbrella company; Baillie Gifford Worldwide Funds plc. BGE is a wholly owned subsidiary of Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, which is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford & Co. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and Baillie Gifford & Co are authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority.

South Korea

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is licensed with the Financial Services Commission in South Korea as a cross border Discretionary Investment Manager and Non-discretionary Investment Adviser.

Japan

Mitsubishi UFJ Baillie Gifford Asset Management Limited ('MUBGAM') is a joint venture company between Mitsubishi UFJ Trust & Banking Corporation and Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited. MUBGAM is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Australia

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited (ARBN 118 567 178) is registered as a foreign company under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) and holds Foreign Australian Financial Services Licence No 528911. This material is provided to you on the basis that you are a "wholesale client" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) ("Corporations Act"). Please advise Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited immediately if you are not a wholesale client. In no circumstances may this

material be made available to a "retail client" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act.

This material contains general information only. It does not take into account any person's objectives, financial situation or needs.

South Africa

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered as a Foreign Financial Services Provider with the Financial Sector Conduct Authority in South Africa.

North America

Baillie Gifford International LLC is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited; it was formed in Delaware in 2005 and is registered with the SEC. It is the legal entity through which Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited provides client service and marketing functions in North America. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is registered with the SEC in the United States of America.

The Manager is not resident in Canada, its head office and principal place of business is in Edinburgh, Scotland. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is regulated in Canada as a portfolio manager and exempt market dealer with the Ontario Securities Commission ('OSC'). Its portfolio manager licence is currently passported into Alberta, Quebec, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and Newfoundland & Labrador whereas the exempt market dealer licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford International LLC is regulated by the OSC as an exempt market and its licence is passported across all Canadian provinces and territories. Baillie Gifford Investment Management (Europe) Limited ('BGE') relies on the International Investment Fund Manager Exemption in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec.

Israel

Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited is not licensed under Israel's Regulation of Investment Advising, Investment Marketing and Portfolio Management Law, 5755-1995 (the Advice Law) and does not carry insurance pursuant to the Advice Law. This material is only intended for those categories of Israeli residents who are qualified clients listed on the First Addendum to the Advice Law.

Singapore

Baillie Gifford Asia (Singapore) Private Limited is wholly owned by Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore as a holder of a capital markets services licence to conduct fund management activities for institutional investors and accredited investors in Singapore. Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, as a foreign related corporation of Baillie Gifford Asia (Singapore) Private Limited, has entered into a cross-border business arrangement with Baillie Gifford Asia (Singapore) Private Limited, and shall be relying upon the exemption under regulation 4 of the Securities and Futures (Exemption for Cross-Border Arrangements) (Foreign Related Corporations) Regulations 2021 which enables both Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited and Baillie Gifford Asia (Singapore) Private Limited to market the full range of segregated mandate services to institutional investors and accredited investors in Singapore.